



Source: Oxfam/Paoua/October2017

FUTURE OF CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC STILL AT RISK

More than half of Central African Republic's population is in need of urgent humanitarian aid amidst chronic underfunding, persisting violence across the country and unsuccessful peace agreements. Donors must step up their commitments and meet their fair share responsibility of funding to stabilize the fragile

situation.

EMBARGOED UNTIL 00:01 HRS GMT 15th of December 2017

1. INTRODUCTION

The security situation in the Central African Republic (CAR) is deteriorating and the humanitarian crisis is deepening despite the peaceful democratic transition in 2016, the election of a new President and the presence of the MINUSCA¹ since 2014. The situation remains volatile and insecure, with regular attacks on civilians, including targeted and indiscriminate attacks on humanitarian actors. The humanitarian needs are enormous with more than half of the population, 2.5 million people, in need of protection and basic services. At the same time, the Humanitarian Response Plan only received 36.5 per cent of funding, falling short of the needed \$316 million.² The Humanitarian Response Plan for CAR in 2018 amounts to \$ 518 Million.³

The worsening security situation in various parts of the country since May 2017 is creating instability and forcing vulnerable civilians to once again bear the brunt of the crisis and has resulted in at least 249 arbitrary killings and more than 180,000 displaced persons.⁴ Today, 600,000 people are internally displaced and 482,000 are refugees in neighbouring countries.⁵ These are the highest levels ever reached since 2013. To date, the population in need has grown from 2.2 million at the beginning of the year to 2.5 million⁶. This level had not been reached since August 2014 and represents an increase of almost 50 per cent since January 2017. The renewal of the mandate of the MINUSCA in November 2017, with an increase in capacity, will prove critical to improve the protection of civilians.

One year after the Donors' Conference in Brussels in November 2016, the humanitarian and development pledges made by the international community need to be translated into fresh commitments and the government needs to show leadership to implement the National Recovery and Peacebuilding Plan (RCPCA 2017-2021).

A huge and concerted effort needs to be made by all stakeholders to consolidate progress, support peace and reconciliation and ensure CAR does not revert back into a deeper crisis.

2. PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS

Conflict and violence resulting in forced displacement are spreading across CAR, engulfing territories that had been relatively safe and stable, while in other regions the status quo remains extremely fragile.⁷ Since May 2017, the hotspots have multiplied and renewed fighting in some areas of the country have already displaced more than 180,000 people for the year 2017 alone.⁸ Nearly 70 per cent of the territory lies in the hands of armed groups.⁹

MINUSCA and the government, as the main protection actors, are struggling to fulfil their mandate. The government security forces are unable to ensure their presence on the whole of the territory let alone,

protect the population. The MINUSCA is overstretched and at times directly targeted by armed groups. The number of troops active outside of Bangui is insufficient to cover the scope of the geographical areas affected by the conflict and resources to deploy for early actions are limited. The population's perception of the MINUSCA is poor.¹⁰

The renewal of the MINUSCA's mandate and increase of capacity by 900 troops is a step in the right direction to address some of the deficiencies of the mission towards fulfilling its mandate on the protection of the civilians. However, troops still lack the capacity to deploy rapidly and fail to respond to attacks on civilians during violent clashes or killings, which is key to improve their acceptance with the communities and is critical to protection of civilians.¹¹

Most of the time, civilians are left on their own to fend for themselves and are exposed to multiple forms of violence. Men are generally more affected by arbitrary executions, arbitrary arrests and detentions, cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment as well as extortion.¹² More than 13,000 children are associated with armed groups.¹³ Women and girls are more affected by kidnappings, accusations related to witchcraft and sexual violence. In a population of 4,4 million, an estimated 97.000 women and girls are at risk of gender-based violence including rape and sex slavery.¹⁴ Armed groups have not simply committed sexual violence as a by-product of fighting, but in many cases, used it as a tactic of war.¹⁵ Survivors face a lack of access to healthcare, psychosocial and socioeconomic support, making it extremely difficult to recover from trauma or resume a normal life.¹⁶

As a consequence of violence, conflict and insecurity, the number of IDPs has risen to 600,000 in October in 2017 for the first time since August 2014, in addition to the 482,000 Central Africans who are refugees in neighbouring countries – mainly in Cameroon, Democratic Republic of Congo, Chad and the Republic of Congo. Food insecurity and malnutrition is widespread, 48 per cent of the population is suffering from hunger and receives a single meal per day, while 2.1 million people are severely food insecure.¹⁷

From January to August 2017, CAR accounted for one third of the security incidents against NGOs worldwide, making it one of the countries where humanitarian workers are the most at risk.¹⁸ More than 200 acts of violence have been directed at humanitarian actors, and 13 humanitarian workers have been killed since the beginning of 2017.¹⁹ During the first quarter of 2017, 28 per cent of the security incidents recorded in CAR involved humanitarians: attacks, threats and plundering prevented humanitarian response in many areas, exacerbated the vulnerability of populations that could not be reached.

Key messages:

- **Oxfam calls on MINUSCA** to focus its operational capacity to improve its performance on protecting civilian, through better information gathering, rapid deployment and action during violent clashes or killings. Furthermore, MINUSCA needs to urgently

address the allegations of sexual abuse and exploitation and hold those responsible to account.

- **Oxfam calls on all actors to end sexual violence against women and girls**, and ensure accessible and free-of-charge emergency health services for survivors and support community-based reintegration programmes
- **Oxfam calls on CAR's government, with the support of donors and the MINUSCA** to gather national support and buy-in from all sides of the conflict to implement a medium to long-term **inclusive and comprehensive disarmament strategy** to reduce violence in the country and address intercommunal conflicts.
- Following repeated attacks on humanitarian actors and humanitarian space, **Oxfam calls on the CAR government, the MINUSCA and armed groups** to immediately respect the distinction between military, political and humanitarian actions, and to work together to **improve the protection of humanitarian workers, and to allow them full and unfettered humanitarian access** to deliver aid to the most affected population.
- **Oxfam calls on the government of CAR, to lead a domestic legal and operational framework** with the support of international actors such as the ICRC and the African Union, based on the recommendations from the Kampala Convention for the protection of IDPs, and work in partnership with humanitarian and development actors to ensure a principled response to displacement and durable solutions

3. PEACEBUILDING AND RECOVERY

Progress on peacebuilding and recovery following the successful democratic elections in 2016 have been hampered by the escalation of violent incidences and intercommunal tensions across the country. The presence of more than a dozen armed groups represents the immediate obstacle to advancing stability and putting an end to the conflict.²⁰ President Touadéra is determined to advance peacebuilding and national reconciliation through dialogue and addressing the root causes of the conflict, however he lacks both national and regional support in his endeavour.²¹

After a failed peace agreement in June 2017 under the auspice the Sant'Egidio Community in Rome, the African initiative was launched in July 2017. Under the leadership of President Touadéra and co-supported by the African Union, ECCAS, and the International Conference on the Great Lakes Region (ICGLR) with the support of Angola, Chad, Congo and Gabon, the African Initiative constitutes the main framework for a political solution in the Central African Republic aiming to facilitate direct engagement between the parties.²²

The African initiative and the implementation of the National Recovery and Peacebuilding Plan need to work hand in hand to be effective, leveraging political, peacebuilding and recovery efforts and address holistic-

ly challenges that remain in terms of security, justice, reconciliation and socioeconomic development.

National and local civil society organizations can and should play a key role in peace and reconciliation process, integrating gender equality and women's participation and empowerment as priority and, where possible contribute to the humanitarian response through funding and technical support. They have expressed concerns over the deteriorating security and economic situation in the country and the desire to participate in the peacebuilding process.²³ The international community, donors and INGOs, should do more to promote local leadership in humanitarian response and peacebuilding process.

Key messages

- Oxfam **calls on the national and regional stakeholders to support the government, commit to the political and peace process through meaningful dialogue**, and increase diplomatic pressure on the parties to the conflict.
- **Oxfam calls on the government to prioritise the engagement of women** in instances of decision making, particularly in relation to peace building and economic recovery and ensure that ending gender-based violence is recognized as part of peace process and that women's rights are sustained over the long term.
- In line with the Grand Bargain and the commitments made by the international community at the World Humanitarian Summit, **donors must support local and national humanitarian actors and community-based organizations** to enhance their meaningful participation in the peace process, their ability to better respond to recurrent crisis, and meet the needs of the affected population.

3. FUNDING GAP

The humanitarian response faces chronic underfunding life-saving needs. In the last five years, four donor conferences have been held in Brussels but have been ineffective in mobilizing sufficient funds to meet the needs of both humanitarian and development actors.²⁴ The consequences of underfunding humanitarian crisis are especially dire for the people who most in need of aid. Humanitarians reduce operations, humanitarian flight services, essential in delivering aid to hard-to-access areas and the delivery of food rations are cut back.²⁵

The continuing deterioration of the situation in CAR has led the humanitarian community to revise its initial Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP), and increase the emergency appeal by 30% to match the growing humanitarian needs in 2017.

The revised HRP now amounts to \$ 497 million to cover basic humanitarian needs and is currently funded at 35.6 per cent, falling short of \$ 316 million to cover basic life-saving activities.²⁶

CAR is far away from the global level of funding for humanitarian appeal, at 53 percent.²⁷ It suffers from growing gaps in funding the annual humanitarian appeal in the last years from 65 per cent received funding in 2014 to 36.5 per cent in 2017 (see table below). Despite severe and urgent humanitarian needs, and joint efforts from the humanitarian community, CAR is not a priority on the agenda of the international community. From 2014 to 2017, the HRP received respectively 68.2 %, 53.5 %, 37.9 % and 36.5% of requested funds.

In this context of chronic underfunding, urgent needs in vital sectors such as protection, shelter and non-food items, water and sanitation, health, food security and nutrition remained uncovered. The lack of funding in these sectors means new displaced population will lack access to shelter and will be unable to rebuild their livelihoods, making them dependent on aid. In parallel, efforts to strengthen early recovery and stabilization activities initiated with the launch of the RCPCA, need further efforts to be operationalized on the ground.


Central African Republic 2017

<https://fts.unocha.org/appeals/549/summary>



Trends in response plan/appeal requirements


07-DEC-2017



Amounts shown for the current year (far right bar) are for the year to date. No data is shown in years where there was no plan/appeal.

[Take me to details of funding by organization](#)

Funding Status (Humanitarian Response Plan)



The systematic underfunding of the CAR crisis heightens the risk of the country reverting into crises because it is unable to stabilise the country, consolidate progress and have a positive impact on people's lives.²⁸ The funding needs are complex and financial instruments need to be flexible, multiyear and capable of adapting to short, medium and long term needs and ensure that the affected population receives appropriate support from the humanitarian to development needs, at the same time as strengthening resilience capacities.

In November 2016, donors and international organizations agreed the investment needs to implement the National Recovery and Peacebuilding Plan amounted to \$1.6 billion over the next three years and \$3 billion over five years.²⁹ The actual pledges at the donor conference in Brussels reached a notable \$2.3 billion (please see Annex 1 for the list of donors and pledges).

While the United Nations Security Council reports that 87 per cent of the \$2.3 billion have been confirmed for the 2017-2019 period, there is no further information on their delivery or where these funds come from, and how they are applied to projects.³⁰ For instance, the United States Administration pledged \$11.7 million to support the justice sector, law enforcement, and economic livelihoods, but refers to already budgeted funds, not additional funding.³¹ Parts of the plan approved at the meeting consist of existing projects and budget support that was already scheduled.³²

Key messages:

- **Donors must urgently increase their funding towards humanitarian response aimed at protecting civilians,** especially vulnerable groups such as women, children and IDPs, that including humanitarian response in protection, sexual and gender-based violence, early recovery and shelter.
- **Donors must meet their commitment** as per the revised 2017 HRP and the 2016 Brussels Donors' Conference. They must

report and update their financial contributions in a transparent, public and voluntary way and ensure the funds are new commitments, not reassignment of budgeted funds.

- **Donors must ensure funding is flexible, multi-year and aligned** on the HRP and the National Recovery and Peacebuilding Plan responding to humanitarian surges in the short term, peacebuilding and reconciliation efforts in the medium term and long-term development investments in a conflict and fragile setting.

4. FAIR SHARE ANALYSIS

The chart below details the amount that high-income countries should be committing to the Central African Republic crisis response in 2017, based on the revised HRP.³³

The analysis includes members of the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) and high-income non-DAC countries. Each country's fair share is calculated as a percentage of the total appeal based on each country's combined gross national income (GNI). Each country's contributions to the appeal include both direct bilateral contributions and imputed multilateral funding.

The fair share analysis demonstrates that funding the CAR humanitarian crisis is not a priority for most donors.

- Contributions come from a very small number of donors, less than half contribute directly to HRP (16 donors in total out of 33).
- Most donors make small contributions (75 per cent of donors' contributions are less than \$10 million, which represents 2 per cent of the total humanitarian appeal).
- Only one third of DAC countries (9 out of 27) fulfil their fair share of responsibility for funding of the humanitarian appeal in CAR.³⁴
- More than half of donors (20 out of 33 donors) fulfil less than 50 percent of their fair share of responsibility for funding.

Calculations are based on other sheets - see "source" row for details

REQUIRED FUNDS (\$m)	497
DAC share (%)	60%
DAC share (\$m)	298
% DAC Received	82%
Non-DAC Share (%)	35%
Non-DAC Share (\$m)	174
% Non-DAC Received	0%
Total % of DAC and high income non DAC Required Funds Received	49,20%

Colour coding
>90% fair share contributed
50-90% fair share contributed
<50% fair share contributed
shortfall >\$5m

	Source:	Direct Contribution s so far (\$m)	Imputed share of CERF & ECHO (\$m)	Total incl. CERF/ECHO share (\$m)	Fair share in \$m	Shortfall (\$m)	% of fair share contributed	
		sheet 4	sheet 8	C + D	sheet 1	G - E	E / G	
Bilateral DAC members	Australia	0,0	0,3	0,3	6,7	6,4	4%	Australia
	Austria	0,0	0,5	0,5	2,7	2,2	18%	Austria
	Belgium	5,8	1,0	6,8	3,2	-3,6	211%	Belgium
	Canada	7,1	0,8	7,9	9,8	1,9	81%	Canada
	Czech Republic	0,0	0,3	0,3	2,1	1,8	12%	Czech Republic
	Denmark	2,6	1,0	3,6	1,7	-1,8	204%	Denmark
	Finland	0,6	0,6	1,2	1,5	0,3	81%	Finland
	France	4,0	3,2	7,2	17,3	10,1	42%	France
	Germany	12,7	5,6	18,3	25,0	6,8	73%	Germany
	Greece	0,0	0,4	0,4	1,8	1,4	23%	Greece
	Iceland	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,1	11%	Iceland
	Ireland	5,9	0,7	6,6	1,6	-5,0	419%	Ireland
	Italy	3,5	2,6	6,1	14,0	8,0	43%	Italy
	Japan	10,4	0,0	10,4	33,5	23,1	31%	Japan
	Korea, Republic of	0,0	0,1	0,1	11,0	10,9	1%	Korea, Republic of
	Luxembourg	2,0	0,2	2,2	0,3	-2,0	869%	Luxembourg
	Netherlands	0,8	2,9	3,7	5,2	1,5	72%	Netherlands
	New Zealand	0,0	0,1	0,1	1,0	1,0	7%	New Zealand
	Norway	3,5	1,6	5,1	2,1	-3,0	242%	Norway
	Poland	0,0	0,5	0,5	6,1	5,6	8%	Poland
	Portugal	0,0	0,5	0,5	1,9	1,4	26%	Portugal
	Slovakia	0,0	0,1	0,1	1,0	0,9	9%	Slovakia
	Spain	0,0	1,8	1,8	10,1	8,3	18%	Spain
	Sweden	7,0	3,4	10,4	3,0	-7,4	349%	Sweden
	Switzerland	4,0	0,3	4,3	3,3	-1,0	130%	Switzerland
	United Kingdom	16,0	4,4	20,4	16,6	-3,8	123%	United Kingdom
	United States	125,0	0,1	125,1	115,5	-9,7	108%	United States
	Saudi Arabia	0,0	0,0	0,0	18,9	18,9	0%	Saudi Arabia
	Qatar	0,0	0,0	0,0	3,4	3,4	0%	Qatar
UAE	0,0	0,0	0,0	7,0	7,0	1%	UAE	
Russia	0,0	0,1	0,1	38,1	38,0	0%	Russia	
Kuwait	0,0	0,0	0,0	3,6	3,6	1%	Kuwait	
Oman	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,9	1,9	0%	Oman	

METHODOLOGY

The analysis uses the revised 2017 UN Humanitarian Response Plan for Central African Republic as an estimate of total of the humanitarian needs.³⁵ The analysis calculates the fair share for OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) countries and for high-income non-DAC countries. It uses each country's total GNI to establish each country's fair share. This analysis assumes that DAC countries, as a group, will collectively contribute 60 percent of the total amounts needed, and that high-income non-DAC countries will contribute 35 percent. The remaining five percent is left unattributed to account for other sources of funding. The analysis tracks direct humanitarian contributions from each government, as well as imputed national shares of funding, where relevant, from the UN's Central Emergency Response Fund and the European Commission Humanitarian Office. Contributions have been calculated using publically available sources from UN OCHA's Financial Tracking Service (FTS), and crosschecked with donor governments where possible. A more detailed explanation of the fair share methodology can be provided on request.

ANNEXE 1

Donor pledges for the National peacebuilding and recovery Plan (2017-2021)

	Bailleur	Année	Développement et reconstruction (M USD)	Aide humanitaire (M USD)	Autre (M USD)	Grand Total (M USD)
	EU	2016-2020	389	38.5	22.5	450
Etats Membres UE	CZ	2016-2018		0.3		0.3
	DE	2016-2017	20.4	16.5		36.9
	IE	2016-2018		17.6		17.6
	FR	2017-2020	118.0			118
	IT	2016-2019		21.7		21.7
	LUX	2017-2019	6.7	7		13.7
	NL	2016-2020	7.4	12.2		19.6
	SE	2016		20		20
	UK/DFID	2016-2018		80		80
	Total EM UE			152.5	175.3	
Bilatéraux	Japan			1.5		1.5
	Switzerland	2016-2017		13.4		13.4
	US		11.7			11.7
	Timor Lest			1.5		1.5
	Norway			5.5		5.5
	Total Bilatéraux					33.6
Organisations internationales	AfDB		400			400
	Arab Bnaks and Funds		391			391
	BDEAC		34.9			34.9
	CEMAC		30.1			30.1
	IMF		115.8			115.8
	WB	2016-2020	500			500
	Total OI			1471.7		

Source : Conférence de Bruxelles, 30 Novembre 2016. 11^e Fonds Européen de Développement, Programme indicatif National, 2014-2020.³⁶

NOTES

¹ United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in the Central African Republic. <https://minusca.unmissions.org/en>

² UN OCHA Financial Tracking System. <https://fts.unocha.org/countries/43/summary/2017> Last checked on the 7th of December 2017.

³ The Global Humanitarian Overview for 2018 has recently been launched in December 2017, with a Humanitarian Response Plan for CAR of 518 Million. <http://interactive.unocha.org/publication/globalhumanitarianoverview/>

⁴ HRW, Central African Republic: Civilians targeted as Violence surges. <https://www.hrw.org/news/2017/10/27/central-african-republic-civilians-targeted-violence-surges>. UN OCHA, Aperçu Humanitaire. The number of IDP in May 2017 is 426,000. https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_0517_apercu_humanitaire.pdf

- ⁵ UN OCHA Aperçu Humanitaire, Octobre 2017.
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_141017_apercu_humanitaire.pdf, last viewed on December 7th 2017.
- ⁶ UN OCHA Aperçu Humanitaire, Octobre 2017.
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_141017_apercu_humanitaire.pdf, last viewed on December 7th 2017.
- ⁷ International Crisis Group, Avoiding the Worst in Central African Republic, REPORT 253 / AFRICA 28 SEPTEMBER 2017, <https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/central-africa/central-african-republic/253-avoiding-worst-central-african-republic>
- ⁸ According to OCHA's Humanitarian Snapshot, the number of IDPs in February 2017 amounted to 402,000. According to OCHA's humanitarian snapshot of October 2017, the number of IDPs has increased to 600,000. Please see: UN OCHA, Aperçu Humanitaire 20 Février 2017.
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_170217humanitarian_snapshot.pdf UN OCHA, Aperçu Humanitaire Octobre 2017,
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_141017_apercu_humanitaire.pdf
- ⁹ Institute for Security Studies, Challenges ahead for the AU roadmap on the CAR,
<https://issafrica.org/pscreport/on-the-agenda/challenges-ahead-for-the-au-roadmap-on-the-car>, Last viewed on the 13th of November 2017.
- ¹⁰ Human Rights Council, Report of the Working Group on the use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination on its mission to the Central African Republic, 12 September 2017.
http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session36/Documents/A_HRC_36_47_Add.1.docx last viewed on the 13th of November 2017
- ¹¹ United Nations Meeting Coverage and Press release, Unanimously Adopting Resolution 2387 (2017) Security Council Extends Mandate, Increases Troop Levels of Stabilization Mission in Central African Republic, 15th of November 2017, <https://www.un.org/press/en/2017/sc13068.doc.htm>, and United Nations Security Council Resolution 2387, 15th of November 2017. <https://www.un.org/press/en/2017/sc13068.doc.htm>
- ¹² UN OCHA, Humanitarian Needs Assessments 2017
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_2017_hno.pdf
- ¹³ UN OCHA, Humanitarian Needs Assessments 2017
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_2017_hno.pdf
- ¹⁴ UN OCHA, Humanitarian Needs Assessments 2017, Human Right Watch, Sexual Violence by Armed Groups in the Central African Republic. <https://www.hrw.org/report/2017/10/05/they-said-we-are-their-slaves/sexual-violence-armed-groups-central-african>. Last viewed on the 8th of November 2017
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/rca_ocha_2017_hno.pdf
- ¹⁵ Human Right Watch, Sexual Violence by Armed Groups in the Central African Republic.
<https://www.hrw.org/report/2017/10/05/they-said-we-are-their-slaves/sexual-violence-armed-groups-central-african>. Last viewed on the 8th of November 2017
- ¹⁶ Human Right Watch, Sexual Violence by Armed Groups in the Central African Republic.
<https://www.hrw.org/report/2017/10/05/they-said-we-are-their-slaves/sexual-violence-armed-groups-central-african>. Last viewed on the 8th of November 2017
- ¹⁷ World Food Program, Country Brief, Central African Republic, September 2017.
http://documents.wfp.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/ep/wfp272236.pdf?_qa=1.204128592.1785065870.1480060278
- ¹⁸ International NGO Safety, 30% of NGO incidents happened in Central African Republic, from Jan. 2016 to Sept 2017. <http://www.ngosafety.org/keydata-dashboard/> Last viewed the 22nd of November 2017.
- ¹⁹ UN OCHA, Note de presse, Le Coordonnateur humanitaire condamne la dernière attaque qui a coûté la vie à un humanitaire. <https://reliefweb.int/report/central-african-republic/le-coordonnateur-humanitaire-condamne-la-derniere-attaque-qui-cout>. Last viewed on the 13th of November 2017.
- ²⁰ <https://minusca.unmissions.org/en/conclusions-high-level-meeting-political-security-and-humanitarian-situation-central-african>
- ²¹ UN Security Council Report of the Secretary-General on the Central African Republic. 18 Octobre 2017,
http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/2017/865+&Submit=Search&Lang=E and ICG, Avoiding the Worst in Central African Republic, <https://d2071andvip0wi.cloudfront.net/253-avoiding-the-worst-in-central-african-republic.pdf>, Africa Report n°253, 28 September 2017
- ²² <https://minusca.unmissions.org/en/conclusions-high-level-meeting-political-security-and-humanitarian-situation-central-african>
- ²³ Messages from workshop with close to 30 civil society organisation in CAR, organized with Oxfam on the 14th of November 2017.
- ²⁴ Oxfam Policy Brief, From Pledge to Commitment, https://www.oxfam.org/sites/www.oxfam.org/files/bn-car-pledge-to-commitment-151116-en_0.pdf
- ²⁵ UN CALL FOR ACTION, June 2017
https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/CAR%20Call%20For%20Action%202017_05_30%20final.pdf
- ²⁶ UN OCHA, Humanitarian Response Plan 2017-2019. Central African Republic.
https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/rca_ocha_0617_hrprevis_final.pdf. Last viewed on the 24th of November 2017
- ²⁷ UN OCHA, Humanitarian Response Plan 2017-2019. Central African Republic.
https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/rca_ocha_0617_hrprevis_final.pdf. Last viewed on the 24th of November 2017
- ²⁸ Oxfam Policy Briefing. From Pledges to commitment
- ²⁹ https://eeas.europa.eu/headquarters/headquarters-homepage/15251/international-donors-pledge-over-eu2-blncentral-african-republic-brussels-conference_en
- ³⁰ United Nations Security Council Resolution 2387, 15th of November 2017.
<https://www.un.org/press/en/2017/sc13068.doc.htm>, ICG, Avoiding the Worst in Central African Republic, <https://d2071andvip0wi.cloudfront.net/253-avoiding-the-worst-in-central-african-republic.pdf>, Africa Report n°253, 28 September 2017
- ³¹ <https://fas.org/spp/crs/row/R43377.pdf>
- ³² ICG, Avoiding the Worst in Central African Republic, <https://d2071andvip0wi.cloudfront.net/253-avoiding-the-worst-in-central-african-republic.pdf>, Africa Report n°253, 28 September 2017
- ³³ The World Bank defines high-income countries as those with GNI per capita of over \$12,235 in 2017.
- ³⁴ Please see the methodology for more information on the fair share methodology and analysis.
- ³⁵ UN OCHA, Aperçu des Besoins Humanitaires, République Centre Africaine, 2017
https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/rca_ocha_171123_hno_french.pdf
- ³⁶ Conférence de Bruxelles, 30 Novembre 2016. 11e Fonds Européen de Développement, Programme indicatif

DISCLAIMER

1. The data analysis in this document was collected from the Financial Tracking System on the 8th of the November 2017, and cross-checking with donors between the 31 of October to the 15th of November 2017.

2. Various Humanitarian Pool Funds and general bilateral donations that have not as yet been published on the Financial Tracking Service (FTS website) have not been included above.

3. The analysis considers only funds that have been committed or paid. Pledges are not included.

4. The country's contribution may include humanitarian funding that is not included in the Humanitarian Response Plan for CAR in 2017.

5. At least two donors include funding to CAR refugees' crisis in neighbouring countries in their direct contribution to CAR humanitarian crisis.

6. The analysis above only includes contributions for humanitarian assistance and as such, direct budget contributions to the Government of Central African Republic and un-earmarked contributions to UN institutions have not been included.

7. Where governments have been able to feedback directly on their humanitarian contributions in their own currency, these have been converted using a 2017 public exchange rate.

8. DAC members undertake specific reporting commitments for official development aid. Their commitment to reporting aid flows is reflected in high levels of engagement with non-DAC tracking systems such as OCHA's FTS. Non-DAC donors do not always have the same systems in place to track, monitor and report ODA, including humanitarian assistance. As a result, their engagement with the FTS has historically been less consistent, although Gulf donors in particular have increased their reporting efforts significantly over the past few years. Moreover, non-DAC donors do not necessarily subscribe to the same delineations for what constitutes ODA and more specifically humanitarian assistance, which has in some cases led non-DAC donors to seek to have direct budget contributions included as part of their fair share. Despite lower levels of reporting, the figures from the FTS have been used where available for reasons of consistency.

9. We acknowledge that countries might still increase their funding over the rest of the year. However, most countries had not met their fair share by the end of the year in 2017.

© Oxfam International November 2017

This paper was written by Amelie Gauthier. Oxfam acknowledges the assistance of Sylvia Ghaly and Paula San Pedro in its production. It is part of a series of papers written to inform public debate on development and humanitarian policy issues.

For further information on the issues raised in this paper please email advocacy@oxfaminternational.org

This publication is copyright but the text may be used free of charge for the purposes of advocacy, campaigning, education, and research, provided that the source is acknowledged in full. The copyright holder requests that all such use be registered with them for impact assessment purposes. For copying in any other circumstances, or for re-use in other publications, or for translation or adaptation, permission must be secured and a fee may be charged. Email policyandpractice@oxfam.org.uk.

The information in this publication is correct at the time of going to press.

Published by Oxfam GB for Oxfam International under ISBN XXX-X-XXXXX-XXX-X in Month 20XX.
Oxfam GB, Oxfam House, John Smith Drive, Cowley, Oxford, OX4 2JY, UK.

OXFAM

Oxfam is an international confederation of 20 organizations networked together in more than 90 countries, as part of a global movement for change, to build a future free from the injustice of poverty. Please write to any of the agencies for further information, or visit www.oxfam.org

Oxfam America (www.oxfamamerica.org)	Oxfam India (www.oxfamindia.org)
Oxfam Australia (www.oxfam.org.au)	Oxfam Intermón (Spain) (www.oxfamintermon.org)
Oxfam-in-Belgium (www.oxfamsol.be)	Oxfam Ireland (www.oxfamireland.org)
Oxfam Brasil (www.oxfam.org.br)	Oxfam Italy (www.oxfamitalia.org)
Oxfam Canada (www.oxfam.ca)	Oxfam Japan (www.oxfam.jp)
Oxfam France (www.oxfamfrance.org)	Oxfam Mexico (www.oxfammexico.org)
Oxfam Germany (www.oxfam.de)	Oxfam New Zealand (www.oxfam.org.nz)
Oxfam GB (www.oxfam.org.uk)	Oxfam Novib (Netherlands) (www.oxfamnovib.nl)
Oxfam Hong Kong (www.oxfam.org.hk)	Oxfam Québec (www.oxfam.qc.ca)
Oxfam IBIS (Denmark) (www.ibis-global.org)	Oxfam South Africa (www.oxfam.org.za)